



School WASH 3 Star School Status: RVWRMP III Working Core Rural Municipalities



'This document was produced with the financial assistance of the European Union and the Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Finland. The views expressed herein can in no way be taken to reflect the official opinion of the European Union or the Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Finland'

June 2020



This Baseline Report was prepared by Technical Specialist Bashu Dev Pandey. The Field survey was conducted in February 2020 by GWRO and SO staffs in the 27core Rural Municipalities working with RVWRMP



Contents

Background	4
Summary	5
WASH in Schools: Overall Indicator wise Three Star Status	6
1. Water Supply	6
2. Toilet	7
3. Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment	8
4. Food Hygiene	10
5. Hygiene Facility	11
6. Hygiene Education	13
7. Menstruation Hygiene Management Facility	14
8. Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability	16
9. Disaster Risk Management	17
10. Monitoring and Accountability	19
WASH in Schools: 27 RM wise, in detail of Three Star Indicator Status	21
1. Ajayameru Rural Municipality	21
2. Alital Rural Municipality	24
3. Api Himal Rural Municipality	27
4. Badikedar Rural Municipality	29
5. Bhagawatimai Rural Municipality.....	33
6. Bhageshwar Rural Municipality.....	36
7. Bhairabi Rural Municipality	38
8. Bogtan Fudsil Rural Municipality	41
9. Chhabis pathibhera Rural Municipality	44
10. Chure Rural Municipality.....	47
11. Dilasaini Rural Municipality.....	50
12. Gaumul Rural Municipality.....	53
13. Kharpunath Rural Municipality	56
14. Marma Rural Municipality.....	58
15. Mohanyal Rural Municipality.....	61
16. Namkha Rural Municipality.....	64
17. Naugad Rural Municipality.....	66
18. Naumule Rural Municipality.....	69
19. Pancheshwor Rural Municipality.....	72
20. Ramaroshan Rural Municipality	76
21. Sarkegad Rural Municipality.....	78

22.	Sayal Rural Municipality	81
23.	Shivnath Rural Municipality	85
24.	Swamikartik Khapar Rural Municipality	87
25.	Talkot Rural Municipality	90
26.	Thalara Rural Municipality	93
27.	Turmakhad Rural Municipality	96

Figure 1: School WASH Workshop with Education Section Chiefs of Rural Municipalities	4
Figure 2: Three Star WASH Indicators Status in Project Core RM	5
Figure 3: 27 Core RMs Status on Water Supply (1st indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	6
Figure 4: 27 Core RMs Status of Toilet (2nd indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	7
Figure 5: 27 Core RMs Status of Clean, Green & Hygienic Environment (3rd indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	9
Figure 6: 27 Core RMs Status of Food Hygiene (4 th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	10
Figure 7: 27 Core RMs Status of Hygiene Facility (5 th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	12
Figure 8: 27 Core RMs Status of Hygiene Education (6 th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	13
Figure 9: 27 Core RMs Status of Menstruation Hygiene Management Facility (7 th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	15
Figure 10: 27 Core RMs Status of Institutional Arrangement & Sustainability (8 th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	16
Figure 11: 27 Core RMs Status of Disaster Risk Management (9 th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)	18
Figure 12: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Ajaymeru Rural Municipality, Dadeldhura	23
Figure 13: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Alital Rural Municipality, Dadeldhura	26
Figure 14: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Apihimal Rural Municipality, Darchula	29
Figure 15: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Badikedar Rural Municipality, Doti	33
Figure 16: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Bhagwatimai Rural Municipality, Dailekh	35
Figure 17: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Bhageshwar Rural Municipality, Dadeldhura	38
Figure 18: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Bhairabi Rural Municipality, Dailekh	41
Figure 19: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Bogtan Rural Municipality, Doti	44
Figure 20: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Chhabispathivera Rural Municipality, Bajhang	47
Figure 21: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Chure Rural Municipality, Kailali	50
Figure 22: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Dilasaini Rural Municipality, Baitadi	52
Figure 23: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Gaumul Rural Municipality, Bajura	55
Figure 24: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Kharpunath Rural Municipality, Humla	58
Figure 25: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Marma Rural Municipality, Darchula	61
Figure 26: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Mohanyal Rural Municipality, Kailali	63
Figure 27: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Namkha Rural Municipality, Humla	66
Figure 28: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Naugad Rural Municipality, Darchula	69
Figure 29: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Naumule Rural Municipality, Dailekh	72
Figure 30: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Pancheshwor Rural Municipality, Baitadi	75
Figure 31: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Ramaroshan Rural Municipality, Accham	78
Figure 32: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Sarkegad Rural Municipality, Humla	81
Figure 33: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Sayal Rural Municipality, Doti	84
Figure 34: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Shivnath Rural Municipality, Baitadi	87
Figure 35: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Swamikartik Rural Municipality, Bajura	90
Figure 36: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Talkot Rural Municipality, Bajhang	92
Figure 37: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Thalara Rural Municipality, Bajhang	95
Figure 38: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Turmakhand Rural Municipality, Accham	98

Background

The Rural Village Water Resources Management Project, Phase III (2016-2022), jointly funded by the Government of Nepal, the European Union and the Government of Finland together with local governments, provides support to rural communities for clean drinking water, and behaviour change in sanitation, hygiene and nutrition. The project also supports livelihood promotion for income generation, cooperatives and renewable energy. The Project covers 63 remote rural municipalities of Nepal. Capacity building of local governments is a key component of the Project.

The Overall Objective to which RVWRMP III contributes is improved health and reduced multidimensional poverty within the project working area. The Purpose of the Project is to achieve universal access to basic water supply, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) services (including work to improve school water supply, sanitation and hygiene), and improved livelihoods with establishment of functional planning and implementation frameworks for all water users and livelihoods promotion in the project area. The Project's working modality and approach are embedded in the local governments' working modality and the Project is implemented by the Local Governments.

This brief presents the RVWRMP Phase III working core Rural Municipalities school WASH status survey conducted to measure the results of the Three Star approach mentioned in the ***School Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Procedure 2074 (Second Revision, 2076), Government of Nepal***. This baseline report summarises the findings regarding achievement of school WASH indicators according to the project target to achieve 'Three Star WASH Schools'. This baseline report provides baseline level information to support planning and implementation efforts in the 27 core RMs. The data presents the school WASH 'Three Star' indicator status at the end of February 2020. The survey data was presented and discussed in the RM education chief workshop on School WASH held on 3 & 4th March 2020, Dhangadhi.

The survey was carried out based on the format of the School WASH procedure 2074, Annex 2: School Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Self-evaluation Form. The GWRO answered the survey by using an Excel format that was prepared by the technical specialist, and sent to them for reporting, prior to the School WASH workshop with RM education section chiefs.



Figure 1: School WASH Workshop with Education Section Chiefs of Rural Municipalities

Summary

The WASH status information from 1014 number of schools was summarized, based on ten indicators of the Three Star Approach procedure. The survey found that hardware indicators like water supply and toilet status score well and many schools with some level of star rating scored highly in these two indicators. Similarly, software indicators like Menstruation Hygiene and Monitoring & accountability status score poorly and there were more ‘no star’ schools in these two indicators. The ‘no star’ school *Liner line trend* also shows an increase, from indicator 1 to 10. The status of each indicator is shown in Figure1.




Figure 2: Three Star WASH Indicators Status in Project Core RM

Analysing the overall indicators we can conclude the WASH 3 star baseline status is:

- Regarding ‘no star’ schools – the fewest (64) were in the Water Supply indicator, and the greatest number (374) in the Menstruation Hygiene Management Facility indicator.
- Regarding ‘one star’ schools – the fewest (549) were in the Food Hygiene indicator and the most (769) ‘one star’ schools ranked in the Toilet indicator.
- Regarding ‘two star’ schools – the fewest (53) were in the Menstruation Hygiene Management Facility indicator, and the most (255) in the Hygiene Facility indicator.
- Regarding ‘three star’ schools – the fewest (8) were in the Monitoring & Accountability indicator, and the most (112) in the Food Hygiene indicator.

Status in detail of indicators that need major investment support & budget-

Result for Indicator 1: water supply & Indicator 2: Toilet ranking is better than other indicators i.e. ‘one star’ schools number 739 & 769, ‘two star’ schools number 134 & 119 and ‘three star’ schools number 77 & 51 respectively for these indicators. By contrast, we need to focus our mobilization efforts on other software indicators (those having more ‘No star’ ranking schools) like Hygiene education, Mensuration Hygiene Management Facility, Disaster Risk Management and Monitoring & Accountability.

WASH in Schools: Overall Indicator wise Three Star Status

1. Water Supply

The first indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school to receive a star (one star, two star & three star) is **water supply**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under the indicator of **water supply**, the star grading of school is done based on status of following criteria:

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Easy access to *drinking, hand washing and sanitation* from improved water sources for all.
- **Two Star:** Treated drinking water facility is available in the school, and water quality testing is done twice a year.
- **Three Star:** Safe and sufficient water for drinking and sanitation (12 litres per person per day) is accessible to all.




Figure 3: 27 Core RMs Status on Water Supply (1st indicator of School WASH 3 Star)

Regarding water supply, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 16 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Apihimal, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naugad, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara, Turmakhad) have no school ranked as 'no star' school. Another 11 Rural municipalities (Alital, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Marma, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal) have 1 to 14 schools, ranked as 'no star' school.

- Naumule RM has least number (5 out of 48) of schools, ranked as a ‘one star’ school and Turmakhad RM has the greatest number (53 out of 56) of schools, ranked as ‘one star’ school.

- Nine Rural Municipalities (Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Sarkegad, Talkot) have no school ranked as a ‘two star’ school. Another 18 RMs (Ajaymeru, Alital, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara, Turmakhad) have 1 to 32 schools, ranked as a ‘two star’ school. Naumule RM has the most two star ranked schools; and 3 RM (Bhageshwor, Naugad, Pancheshwor) have the fewest ‘two star’ ranked schools.

- 13 RMs (Ajaymeru, Bhageshwor, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Ramaroshan, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Turmakhad) have no any school ranked as a ‘three star’ school. Another 14 RMs (Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Sayal, Thalara) have 1 to 16 schools, ranked as a ‘three star’ school. Bogtan RM has the most ‘three star’ ranked schools (16 out of 41); and two RMs (Sayal & Thalara) have the least ‘three star’ schools.

2. Toilet

The second indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school into a star ranking (one star, two star & three star) is Toilet. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under the indicator **toilet**, the star grading of school is done based on the status of following criteria:




Figure 4: 27 Core RMs Status of Toilet (2nd indicator of School WASH 3 Star)

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Separate Improved Boys and Girls toilet are in use.
- **Two Star:** Child, Gender, Differently-abled and environmentally friendly urinal and toilets, with a tap, are in use.
- **Three Star:** Appropriate (safe) siting for Child, Gender, Differently-abled and environmentally friendly facilities (at the ratio of 1 toilet per 25 Girls and 50 Boys) with improved toilet and urinal with the ratio of 1:4 with sufficient water facility, proper and safe drainage system in practice.

Regarding toilets, from the total 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 18 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Apihimal, Bhagwatimai, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Kharpunath, Marma, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naugad, Ramaroshan, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a 'no star' school. Another 9 Rural Municipalities (Alital, Badikedar, Bhageshwor, Bhairabi, Chure, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Sayal, Turmakhad) have 1 to 21 schools, ranked as a 'no star' school.
- Kharpunath RM has the fewest 'one star' schools (15 out of 15) and Turmakhad RM has the greatest number of schools, ranked as 'one star' school (53 out of 56).
- Five Rural Municipalities (Bhageshwor, Kharpunath, Namkha, Sarkegad, Talkot) have no school ranked as a 'two star' school. Another 22 RMs (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Mohanyal, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara, Turmakhad) have 1 to 13 schools, ranked as a 'two star' school. Of these, 3 RMs (Badikedar, Bogtan and Dilasaini) have the most 'two star' ranked schools (13) and 3 RMs (Bhairabi, Gaumul, Mohanyal) have only one 'two star' ranked school.
- 13 RMs (Ajaymeru, Bhageshwor, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Talkot, Turmakhad) have no school ranked as 'three star' school. Another 14 RMs (Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Swamikartik, Thalara) have 1 to 9 number of schools, ranked as 'three star' school. Of these, two RMs (Badikedar & Bogtan) have the most 'three star' ranked schools (9) and 4 RMs (Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal & Thalara) have only one 'three star' school.

3. Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment

The third indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school to receive star (one star, two star & three star) is **Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under this indicator, the star grading of the school is done based on the status of the following criteria:

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Regular collection of solid waste in a dustbin from classrooms and the school surroundings and its proper disposal, along with, having open defecation free school service area.

- **Two Star:** Separation of Solid waste, glass, metals, non-biodegradable organic waste and biodegradable waste in separate dustbins, their proper disposal at least weekly and having flower garden in school surroundings.
- **Three Star:** Reduce, Reuse and Recycle methods are in practice for waste management. Suitable open area/land developed for plantation & home garden/kitchen garden.




Figure 5: 27 Core RMs Status of Clean, Green & Hygienic Environment (3rd indicator of School WASH 3 Star)

Regarding the Clean, Green and Hygiene Environment, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 21 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Kharpunath, Marma, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naugad, Naumule, Ramaroshan, Sarkegad, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a ‘no star’ school. Another six Rural Municipalities (Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Chure, Pancheshwar, Turmakhad) have 1 to 25 schools, ranked as a ‘no star’ school.
- Naumule RM has the fewest ‘one star’ school (7 out of 48) and Mohanyal RM has the most schools ranked as a ‘one star’ school (50 out of 50).
- Six Rural Municipalities (Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Sarkegad, Talkot) have no ‘two star’ school. Another 21 RMs (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwar, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara, Turmakhad) have 1 to 37 schools, ranked as a ‘two star’ school. Of these, Naumule RMs has the most ‘two star’ ranked schools (37) and two RMs (Bhageshwar, & Dilasaini) have only one ‘two star’ ranked school.
- 19 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Kharpunath, Marma, Mohanyal, Namkha, Pancheshwar, Sarkegad, Sayal, Shivnath, Talkot, Thalara, Turmakhad) have no ‘three star’ school. Another eight RMs (Alital, Apihimal, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Naugad, Naumule, Ramaroshan, Swamikartik) have 1 to 4 schools, ranked as a ‘three star’

school. Of these, one RM (Naumule) has the most ‘three star’ ranked schools (4), two RMs (Alital & Bhairabi) have three ‘three star’ ranked schools, two RMs (Naugad & Ramaroshan) have two ‘three star’ ranked schools, and three RMs (Apihimal, Bogtan & Swamikartik) have only one ‘three star’ school.

4. Food Hygiene

The fourth indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school to receive a star (one star, two star & three star) is **Food Hygiene**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under this indicator, the star grading of the school is done based on the status of the following criteria

- **No Star:** A ‘no star’ school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Clean, hygienic and fresh daily tiffin eaten/available, by/to the Students in school.
- **Two Star:** Proper maintenance and use of utensils, food items and other necessary things in kitchen and management of cooked and uncooked food items separately.
- **Three Star:** Preparation of daily tiffin by the skilled person and its management and storage in appropriate temperature.




Figure 6: 27 Core RMs Status of Food Hygiene (4th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)

Regarding the Food Hygiene, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 16 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Marma, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a 'no star' school. Another 11 Rural Municipalities (Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Gaumul, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Turmakhad) have 2 to 36 'no star' schools.
- Bhairabi RM has the fewest 'one star' schools (3 out of 44), and Mohanyal RM has the most schools, ranked as a 'one star' school (50 out of 50).
- Six Rural Municipalities (Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Sarkegad, Talkot) have no school ranked as a 'two star' school. Another 21 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara, Turmakhad) have 1 to 21 schools, ranked as a 'two star' school. Of these, Marma RM has the most 'two star' ranked schools (21 out of 45), and 6 RMs (Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Sarkegad, Talkot) have only one 'two star' ranked school.
- 14 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Talkot, Turmakhad) have no school ranked as a 'three star' school. Another 13 Rural Municipalities (Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Marma, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Swamikartik, Thalara) have 1 to 38 schools, ranked as a 'three star' school. Of these, one RM (Naumule) has the most 'three star' ranked schools (38), and one RM (Sayal) has only one 'three star' school.

5. Hygiene Facility

The fifth indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school to receive a star (one star, two star & three star) is **Hygiene Facility**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under this indicator, the star grading of the school is done based on the status of the following criteria:

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Hand washing facility with soap & water.
- **Two Star:** Permanent hand washing facility with regular availability soap & water for the group.
- **Three Star:** Group-wise easy access to permanent hand washing facility (Ratio 1 Tap: 50 students) in use, and disposal of wastewater to drainage or soak pit or garden or kitchen garden.




Figure 7: 27 Core RMs Status of Hygiene Facility (5th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)

Regarding the Hygiene Facility, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 16 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Marma, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a ‘no star’ school. Another 11 Rural Municipalities (Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Gaumul, Naugad, Pancheshwar, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Turmakhad) have 1 to 27 ‘no star’ schools.
- Gaumul RM has the fewest schools ranked as a ‘one star’ school (10 out of 22) and Mohanyal RM has the most schools ranked as a ‘one star’ school (48 out of 50).
- Five Rural Municipalities (Chure, Kharpunath, Namkha, Sarkegad, Talkot) have no school ranked as a ‘two star’ school. Another 22 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Mohanyal, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwar, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara, Turmakhad) have 2 to 35 schools, ranked as ‘two star’ school. Of these, Naumule RM has the most ‘two star’ ranked schools (35 out of 48), and Mohanyal RM has the fewest ‘two star’ ranked schools (2).
- 11 Rural Municipalities (Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Sarkegad, Talkot, Turmakhad) have no ‘three star’ school. Another 16 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwar, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara) have 1 to 8 schools ranked as a ‘three star’ school. Of these, Bhairabi RM has the most ‘three star’ ranked schools (8 out of 44), and 5 RMs (Ajaymeru, Bogtan, Naumule, Pancheshwar & Shivnath) have only one ‘three star’ school each.

6. Hygiene Education

The sixth indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school to receive a star rating (one star, two star & three star) is **Hygiene Education**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under this indicator, the star grading of the school is done based on the status of the following criteria:

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Educating students about Hygiene education in every class. Education on Menstruation Hygiene Management from class 5 and above.
- **Two Star:** Active participation of students in behavioural change on hygiene education.
- **Three Star:** Practice of marking the students on the basis of their maintenance of personal cleanliness & hygiene behaviour (Group Hand washing, Personal Cleanliness & cleaning of toilet) in Health & Environment subject.




Figure 8:27 Core RMs Status of Hygiene Education (6th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)

Regarding Hygiene Education, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 13 Rural Municipalities (Apihimal, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a 'no star' school. Another 14 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Turmakhad) have 1 to 36 schools, ranked as a 'no star' school.
- Bhageshwor RM has the fewest schools ranked as a 'one star' school' (6 out of 34), and Mohanyal RM has the most schools, ranked as a 'one star' school (49 out of 50).
- Six Rural Municipalities (Chure, Kharpunath, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Talkot) have no school ranked as a 'two star' school. Another 21 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Mohanyal Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara, Turmakhad) have 1 to 28 schools, ranked as a 'two star' school. Of these, Bhageshwor RM has the most 'two star' ranked schools (28) and two RMs (Gaumul & Mohanyal) have one 'two star' ranked school.
- 14 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Talkot, Turmakhad) have no school ranked as a 'three star' school. Another 13 Rural Municipalities (Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Swamikartik, Thalara) have 1 to 8 schools, ranked as a 'three star' school. Of these, Naugad RM has the greatest number of 'three star' ranked schools (8 out of 43), and two RMs (Bogtan, & Gaumul) have one 'three star' school.

7. Menstruation Hygiene Management Facility

The seventh indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school into star (one star, two star & three star) is **Menstruation Hygiene Management Facility**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under this indicator, the star grading of the school is done based on the status of the following criteria:

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Availability of covered dustbin in girl's toilet for disposal of menstruation materials (sanitary pad).
- **Two Star:** Availability of menstruation materials (Sanitary Pad) in emergency cases and practice of safe disposal of the used menstruation material (Sanitary Pad) or use of incinerator.
- **Three Star:** Knowledge is provided to girls for preparing of reusable menstruation materials or skill of using or hygienic menstruation material in practice and availability of menstruation hygiene facility.




Figure 9: 27 Core RMs Status of Menstruation Hygiene Management Facility (7th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)

Regarding Menstruation Hygiene Management Facility, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 12 Rural Municipalities (Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a ‘no star’ school. Another 15 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Turmakhad) have 7 to 41 schools, ranked as a ‘no star’ school.
- Bhairabi RM has the fewest schools, ranked as a ‘one star’ school (2 out of 29) and Mohanyal RM has the most schools, ranked as a ‘one star’ school (49 out of 50).
- 12 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Chure, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Sayal, Swamikartik, Talkot) have no school ranked as a ‘two star’ school. Another 15 Rural Municipalities (Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Gaumul, Marma, Mohanyal, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Shivnath, Thalara, Turmakhad) have 1 to 15 schools, ranked as a ‘two star’ school. Of these, Thalara RM has the most ‘two star’ ranked schools (15) and 8 RMs (Apihimal, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Gaumul, Mohanyal, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan & Turmakhad) have one ‘two star’ ranked school.
- 13 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Bhagwatimai, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Sayal, Shivnath, Talkot, Turmakhad) have no school ranked as a ‘three star’ school. Another 14 Rural Municipalities (Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhageshwor, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Swamikartik, Thalara) have 1 to 12 schools, ranked as a ‘three star’ school. Of these Bhairabi RM has the most ‘three star’ ranked schools (12 out of 44), while 7 RMs (Badikedar, Bhageshwor, Bogtan, Dilasaini, Marma, Pancheshwor & Gaumul) have one ‘three star’ school.

8. Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability

The eighth indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school into star (one star, two star & three star) is **Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under this indicator, the star grading of the school is done based on the status of the following criteria:

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Formation of Child Club and School WASH Coordination Committee.
- **Two Star:** WASH plan included in School Reform Plan and **its implementation**.
- **Three Star:** O&M fund for regular operation & maintenance, required skills, spare parts and tools available, and there is regular cleaning of toilet, water tank, filter and hand washing place.




Figure 10: 27 Core RMs Status of Institutional Arrangement & Sustainability (8th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)

Regarding Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 17 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Apihimal, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Kharpunath, Marma, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a 'no star' school. Another 10 Rural Municipalities (Alital, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chure, Naugad, Pancheshwar, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Turmakhad) have 1 to 31 schools ranked as a 'no star' school.
- Gaumul RM has the fewest schools, ranked as a 'one star' school (9 out of 22), and Mohanyal RM has most schools, ranked as a 'one star' school (50 out of 50).
- Seven Rural Municipalities (Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Talkot) have no school ranked as a 'two star' school. Another 20 RMs (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwar, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara, Turmakhad) have 1 to 22 schools,

ranked as a 'two star' school. Of these, Bogtan RM has the most 'two star' ranked schools (22 out of 41) and Bhageshwor RM has one 'two star' ranked school.

- 14 RMs (Ajaymeru, Apihimal, Bhageshwor, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Talkot, Turmakhad) have no school ranked as a 'three star' school. Another 13 Rural Municipalities (Alital, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Swamikartik, Thalara) have 1 to 4 schools ranked as a 'three star' school. Of these, three RMs (Alital, Naugad & Swamikartik) have the most 'three star' ranked schools (4), while 5 RMs (Bogtan, Gaumul, Marma, Ramaroshan & Thalara) have one 'three star' school.

9. Disaster Risk Management

The ninth indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school into star (one star, two star & three star) is **Disaster Risk Management**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under this indicator, the star grading of the school is done based on the status of the following criteria:

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Capacity building of teacher and students on disaster risk management.
- **Two Star:** Pre & post disaster plan is prepared, including WASH and risk mapping displayed, where everyone can see.
- **Three Star:** WASH structures constructed as per approved norms of government of Nepal (Disaster Risk Reduction) and also forming & giving training to search & rescue team, for emergency cases.




Figure 11: 27 Core RMs Status of Disaster Risk Management (9th indicator of School WASH 3 Star)


Regarding Disaster Risk Management, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:

- 12 Rural Municipalities (Apihimal, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a ‘no star’ school. Another 15 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Turmakhad) have 1 to 32 schools, ranked as a ‘no star’ school.
- Chure RM has the fewest schools ranked as a ‘one star’ school (10 out of 42) and Mohanyal RM has most schools ranked as a ‘one star’ school (50 out of 50).
- 12 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Apihimal, Bhageshwor, Bhairabi, Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naugad, Naumule, Sarkegad, Talkot) have no school ranked as a ‘two star’ school. Another 15 Rural Municipalities (Alital, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Thalara and Turmakhad) have 1 to 21 schools, ranked as a ‘two star’ school. Of these, Thalara RM has the most ‘two star’ ranked schools (21 out of 41) and two RMs (Badikedar & Turmakhad) have one ‘two star’ ranked school.
- 21 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Kharpunath, Marma, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Talkot, Thalara, Turmakhad) have no school ranked as a ‘three star’ school. Another 6 RMs (Alital, Bhairabi, Naugad, Ramaroshan, Sayal, & Swamikartik) have 1 to 4 schools ranked as a ‘three star’ school. Of these Swamikartik RM has the most ‘three star’ ranked schools (4), and Bhairabi RM has one ‘three star’ school.

10. Monitoring and Accountability

The tenth indicator of School WASH for upgrading the school into star (one star, two star & three star) is **Monitoring and Accountability**. The star ranking is also measured as poor (1), medium (2) and excellent (3) based on how the facility fulfils the criteria of star ranking (RM wise, found in the annex). Under this indicator, the star grading of the school is done based on the status of the following criteria:

- **No Star:** A 'no star' school is a school that have no water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities or regular hygiene programming.
- **One Star:** Provision of WASH & MHM focal teacher (preference to female teacher) or health worker.
- **Two Star:** Regular toilet cleaning & hand washing with soap water under the Supervision of WASH & MHM focal teacher.
- **Three Star:** Provision of WASH corner (related books, magazine, poster, IEC material, Menstruation material, Healthy & Hygienic tiffin) under the facilitation of WASH & MHM focal teacher. **Self-monitoring of all indicators, at least once in 6 month and maintaining records of this.**



Regarding Monitoring and Accountability, from the total of 1014 schools, the indicator baseline status findings were:


- 13 Rural Municipalities (Apihimal, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwar, Dilasaini, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara,) have no school ranked as a 'no star' school. Another 4 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Badikedar, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Pancheshwar, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Turmakhad) have 1 to 32 schools, ranked as a 'no star' school.



- Chure RM has the fewest schools ranked as a 'one star' school and Mohanyal RM has the most schools ranked as a 'one star' school (50 out of 50).
- Seven Rural Municipalities (Chure, Kharpunath, Mohanyal, Namkha, Sarkegad, Talkot & Turmakhad) have no school ranked as a 'two star' school. Another 20 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Apihimal, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Chhabispathivera, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Marma, Naugad, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sayal, Shivnath, Swamikartik & Thalara) have 1 to 24 schools ranked as a 'two star' school. Of these, Thalara RM has the most 'two star' ranked schools (24 out of 41) and Ajaymeru RM has one 'two star' ranked school.
- 22 Rural Municipalities (Ajaymeru, Alital, Badikedar, Bhagwatimai, Bhageshwor, Chhabispathivera, Chure, Dilasaini, Gaumul, Kharpunath, Marma, Mohanyal, Namkha, Naumule, Pancheshwor, Ramaroshan, Sarkegad, Shivnath, Swamikartik, Talkot, Thalara & Turmakhad) have no school ranked as a 'three star' school. Another 5 Rural Municipalities (Apihimal, Bhairabi, Bogtan, Naugad & Sayal) have 1 to 3 schools, ranked as a 'three star' school. Of these, Bhairabi RM has the most 'three star' ranked schools (3), Naugad RM has two 'three star' ranked schools and Apihimal, Bogtan & Sayal RM each have one 'three star' school.

WASH in Schools: 27 RM wise, in detail of Three Star Indicator Status

1. Ajaymeru Rural Municipality

30 schools from Ajaymeru RM were surveyed for 'three star' WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicators from 1 to 6 & 8 in this RM survey. However, the status of poor & medium ranked star schools needs more attention during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 7 (**menstruation & hygiene management facility**), only 13 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor & medium, and another 17 schools do not have any Indicator 7-related related criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**disaster risk management**), only 18 schools have 'one star' ranking, as poor & medium, and another 12 schools still do not have any indicator 9 related criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**monitoring and accountability**), only 14 schools have 'one star' ranking as poor & medium, one school has poor 'two star' ranking and another 15 schools do not have any indicator 10 related star ranking.












Figure 12: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Ajaymeru Rural Municipality, Dadeldhura

2. Alital Rural Municipality

42 schools from Alital RM were surveyed for 'three star' WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicators from 3 to 5 in this RM survey. However, the status of poor & medium ranked star schools needs to receive more focus during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1, 41 schools have 'one star' ranking, as poor & medium, and another 17 schools do not have any Indicator 7 (**menstruation & hygiene management facility**) related criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**disaster risk management**), only 18 schools have a 'one star' ranking, as poor & medium, while another 12 schools do not have any indicator 9 related criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10, only 14 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor & medium, one school is ranked as poor 'two star'; and another 15 schools do not have any indicator 10 (**monitoring and accountability**) related star receiving criteria fulfilled.












Figure 13: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Alital Rural Municipality, Dadeldhura

3. Api Himal Rural Municipality

23 schools from Apihimal RM were surveyed for 'three star' WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicator 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9 & 10 in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 3 (**Clean, Green & Hygienic Environment**), 19 schools have 'one star' ranking, two schools are 'two star' ranked and one school is 'three star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, while another school has no Indicator 3 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**menstruation & hygiene management facility**), only three schools have 'one star' ranking, one school have 'two star' ranking, and two schools have 'three star' ranking as poor, medium & excellent, while another 17 schools have no Indicator 7 related criteria fulfilled.













Figure 14: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Apihimal Rural Municipality, Darchula

4. Badikedar Rural Municipality

57 schools from Badikedar RM were surveyed for 'three star' WASH. No schools had star rankings in all indicators 1 to 10 in this RM survey. The most schools (50) had a star ranking in indicator 1 and the fewest

schools (19) had a star ranking in indicator 7. However, the poor & medium ranked star schools need more attention during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 44 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, six schools are 'three star' ranked as excellent another seven schools do not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 2 (**Toilet**), 19 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor, 13 schools are 'two star' ranked as medium, and another 16 schools do not have any Indicator 2 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 3 (**Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment**), 40 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, eight schools have 'two star' ranking as medium & excellent, while another nine schools do not have any Indicator 3 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), 25 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, eight schools ranked 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent and five schools ranked 'three star' excellent, another 19 schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 35 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, five schools ranked 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent and five schools ranked 'three star' excellent, another 12 schools do not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 29 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, two schools ranked as 'two star' medium, and six schools ranked 'three star' medium & excellent, while another 20 schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), 13 schools had 'one star' ranking as poor, medium & excellent, five schools ranked as 'two star', as medium & excellent, and one school ranked as 'three star' medium, while another 38 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**), 34 schools received 'one star' ranking as poor, medium & excellent, two schools 'two star' ranked as medium, and two schools ranked 'three star' medium & excellent, another 19 schools do not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 32 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, one school 'two star' ranked as medium and no school ranked 'three star', another 24 schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**monitoring and accountability**), 25 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, 10 schools as poor, medium & excellent 'two star' ranked, and another 22 schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.











Figure 15: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Badikedar Rural Municipality, Doti

5. Bhagwatimai Rural Municipality

30 schools from Bhagwatimai RM were surveyed for ‘three star’ WASH. All schools received a star in all indicators. However, the poor & medium ranked star schools need more attention during further implementation of school WASH activities. Indicator 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 have some schools that received a ‘two star’ rating as poor, medium & excellent; and Indicator 1 & 2 have only two schools that received ‘three star’ excellent rating.













Figure 16: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Bhagwatimai Rural Municipality, Dailekh

6. Bhageshwor Rural Municipality

34 schools from Bhageshwor RM were surveyed for 'three star' WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicators, except four schools in indicator 2, in this RM survey. However, poor & medium ranked schools need more attention during further implementation of school WASH activities.












Figure 17: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Bhageswar Rural Municipality, Dadeldhura

7. Bhairabi Rural Municipality

44 schools from Bhairabi RM were surveyed for 'three star' WASH. No schools are star ranked in all indicators from 1 to 10 in this RM survey. The most schools (42) had a star ranking in indicator 8 and the fewest schools (15) had a star ranking in indicator 7. However, the poor & medium ranked star schools need more attention during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 21 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor, 12 schools are 'three star' ranked as poor, and another 11 schools do not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 2 (**Toilet**), 30 schools 'one star' ranked as poor, one school 'two star' ranked as poor, five schools three star ranked as poor and another eight schools do not have any Indicator 2 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 3 (**Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment**), 36 schools 'one star' ranked as poor, two schools 'two star' ranked as poor, three schools 'three star' ranked as poor, and another three schools do not have any Indicator 3 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), three schools 'one star' ranked as poor, three schools 'two star' ranked as poor and 11 schools 'three star' ranked as poor, another 27 schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 10 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, eight schools 'two star' ranked as poor, eight schools 'three star' ranked as poor, another 18 schools do not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), nine schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, 13 schools 'two star' ranked as poor, six schools 'three star' ranked as poor and another 16 schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), two schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, one school 'two star' ranked as poor and 12 schools 'three star' ranked as poor and another 29 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**), 32 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, eight schools 'two star' ranked as poor and two schools were 'three star' ranked as poor and another two schools do not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 21 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, no school ranked 'two star', one

school 'three star' ranked as poor and another 22 schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), 14 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor, eight schools as poor 'two star' ranked, three schools as poor 'three star' ranked and another 19 schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.












Figure 18: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Bhairabi Rural Municipality, Dailekh

8. Bogtan Fudsil Rural Municipality

41 schools from Bogtan RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked only in indicator 2 & 3 in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 15 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor, two schools were 'two star' ranked as medium, 16 schools are three star ranked as poor and another eight schools do not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), 12 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, 16 schools 'two star' ranked as medium, one school 'three star' ranked as excellent, another seven schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 16 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, 23 schools 'two star' ranked as medium, one school 'three star' ranked as excellent, another school does not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 16 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, 20 schools 'two star' ranked as medium, one school 'three star' ranked as excellent and another four schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), 10 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, one school was 'two star' ranked as medium and one school was 'three star' ranked as excellent and another 29 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**), 15 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, 22 schools 'two star' ranked as medium and one school as 'three star' ranked as excellent and another three schools do not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 25 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, nine schools 'two star' ranked as medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another seven schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), 19 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor,

15 schools as medium 'two star' ranked, one school as excellent 'three star' ranked and another six schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.












Figure 19: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Bogtan Rural Municipality, Doti

9. Chhabispathivera Rural Municipality

33 schools from Chhabispathivera RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked only in indicator 2, 3 & 8 in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 28 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, four schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, no schools are three star ranked and another school does not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), 26 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, four schools 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another three schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 27 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, four schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, no school 'three star' ranked, another two schools do not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 26 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, four schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, no schools 'three star' ranked and another three schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), 22 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, four schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent and no schools 'three star' ranked and another seven schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 28 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, four schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, no schools 'three star' ranked and another school does not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and**

accountability), 28 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, four schools as excellent 'two star' ranked, no schools as 'three star' ranked and another school does not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled .












Figure 20: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Chhabispathivera Rural Municipality, Bajhang

10. Chure Rural Municipality

42 schools from Chure RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are not star ranked in indicator 1 to 10 in this RM survey. More schools (28) ranked as a star in indicator 1 and less schools (4) ranked as a star in indicator 7. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 28 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 14 schools do not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 2 (**Toilet**), 19 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, two schools were 'two star' ranked as medium, no schools three star ranked and another 21 schools do not have any Indicator 2 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 3 (**Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment**), 17 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 25 schools do not have any Indicator 3 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), 19 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 23 schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 15 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 27 schools do not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), six schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 36 schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), four schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 38 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**), 11 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 31 schools do not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 10 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium &

excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 32 schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), five schools are 'one star' ranked poor, medium & excellent, no schools are 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 37 schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.











Figure 21: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Chure Rural Municipality, Kailali

11. Dilasaini Rural Municipality

49 schools from Dilasaini RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. five schools are rated Excellent three star in indicator 1 (**Water Supply**) only.












Figure 22: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Dilasaini Rural Municipality, Baitadi

12. Gaumul Rural Municipality

22 schools from Gaumul RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicator 1 to 3 & 8 in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), five schools 'one star' ranked, as excellent, 11 schools 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another six schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 10 schools 'one star' ranked, as medium & excellent, seven schools 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, three schools were 'three star' ranked as medium & excellent and another two schools do not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 11 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, one school was 'two star' ranked as medium, one school was 'three star' ranked as excellent and another nine schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), six schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, one school was 'two star' ranked as medium, two schools were 'three star' ranked as excellent and another 13 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 19 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor & medium, two schools were 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another school does not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), nine schools are 'one star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, four schools as medium & excellent 'two star' ranked, no schools as 'three star' ranked and another nine schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.













Figure 23: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Gaumul Rural Municipality, Bajura

13. Kharpunath Rural Municipality

15 schools from Kharpunath RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. All schools are rated poor, medium & excellent for indicator of 'one star' only.












Figure 24: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Kharpunath Rural Municipality, Humla

14. Marma Rural Municipality

45 schools from Marma RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicator from 2 to 5 & 8 in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 20 schools are ‘one star’ ranked, as medium & excellent, 14 schools are ‘two star’ ranked as medium & excellent, 10 schools three star ranked, as excellent and another school does not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 31 schools ‘one star’ ranked, poor, medium & excellent, 10 schools ‘two star’ ranked as medium & excellent, three schools were ‘three star’ ranked as medium & excellent and another school does not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), 10 schools ‘one star’ ranked, poor, medium & excellent, two schools were ‘two star’ ranked as medium, one school was ‘three star’ ranked as excellent and another 32 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 39 schools ‘one star’ ranked, poor, medium & excellent, four schools are ‘two star’ ranked as medium & excellent, no schools three star ranked and another two schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), 31 schools are ‘one star’ ranked poor, medium & excellent, 12 schools are ‘two star’ ranked poor, medium & excellent, no schools three star ranked and another two schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.











Figure 25: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Marma Rural Municipality, Darchula

15. Mohanyal Rural Municipality

50 schools from Kharpunath RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. All schools are rated poor, medium & excellent for indicator of 'one star' only. In Indicator 2 (**Toilet**), one school was 'two star' ranked as excellent, in Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), two schools were 'two star' ranked as excellent, in Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), one school was 'two star' ranked as excellent and in Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), one school was 'two star' ranked as excellent.













Figure 26: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Mohanyal Rural Municipality, Kailali

16. Namkha Rural Municipality

17 schools from Namkha RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. All schools are rated poor, medium & excellent for indicator of 'one star' only.












Figure 27: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Namkha Rural Municipality, Humla

17. Naugad Rural Municipality

43 schools from Naugad RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicator from 1 to 3 in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), five schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, 18 schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, 13 schools three star ranked as excellent and another seven schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 22 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, 13 schools are 'two star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, seven schools three star ranked as excellent and another school does not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 27 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, six schools are 'two star' ranked as medium, eight schools three star ranked as excellent and another two schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), 16 schools 'one star' ranked as poor, six schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, two schools were 'three star' ranked as excellent and another 19 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**), 24 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, 14 schools are 'two star' ranked as medium, four schools three star ranked as excellent and another school does not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 36 schools 'one star' ranked as poor & medium, no schools are 'two star' ranked, two schools were 'three star' ranked as excellent and another five schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), 29 schools are 'one star' ranked poor, medium & excellent, seven schools are 'two star' ranked as medium, two schools were 'three star' ranked as medium & excellent and another five schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.












Figure 28: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Naugad Rural Municipality, Darchula

18. Naumule Rural Municipality

48 schools from Naumule RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicator from 3 to 10 in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), five schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor & medium, 32 schools are 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, four schools three star ranked as excellent and another seven schools do not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 2 (**Toilet**), 36 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, three schools were 'two star' ranked as medium, no schools three star ranked and another nine schools do not have any Indicator 2 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.











Figure 29: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Naumule Rural Municipality, Dailekh

19. Pancheshwor Rural Municipality

45 schools from Pancheshwor RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are not star ranked in indicator 1 to 10 in this RM survey. More schools (35) ranked as a star in indicator 2, 3 & 5 and less schools (30) ranked as a star in indicator 9. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 29 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, one school was 'two star' ranked as medium, four schools three star ranked as medium & excellent and another 11 schools do not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 2 (**Toilet**), 30 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, four schools 'two star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, one school was three star ranked as poor and another 10 schools do not have any Indicator 2 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 3 (**Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment**), 30 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, five schools are 'two star' as medium & excellent, no schools three star ranked and another 10 schools do not have any Indicator 3 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), 25 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, seven schools are 'two star' as medium & excellent, two schools were 'three star' ranked as poor & medium, and another 11 schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 28 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, six schools are 'two star' as poor, medium & excellent, one school was three star ranked as excellent and another 10 schools do not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 21 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, eight schools are 'two star' as medium & excellent, three schools were 'three star' ranked as medium & excellent, and another 13 schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), 30 schools 'one star' ranked, poor,

medium & excellent, one school was 'two star' ranked as medium, one school was three star ranked as medium and another 13 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**), 23 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, nine schools are 'two star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, two schools were 'three star' ranked as medium & excellent and another 11 schools do not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 30 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, 2 schools are 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, no school three star ranked and another 15 schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), 28 schools are 'one star' ranked poor & medium, four schools are 'two star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, no schools three star ranked and another 13 schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.












Figure 30: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Pancheshwor Rural Municipality, Baitadi

20. Ramaroshan Rural Municipality

46 schools from Ramaroshan RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicator 2 & 3 in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 36 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, nine schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, no schools three star ranked and another two schools do not have any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), 30 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, 11 schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, three schools were 'three star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent and another two schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 29 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, 13 schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, three schools 'three star' ranked as medium & excellent and another school does not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 21 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, 13 school 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, three schools were 'three star' ranked as medium and another nine schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), 16 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, one school was 'two star' ranked as excellent, two schools were 'three star' ranked as poor & excellent and another 27 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**), 29 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, 15 schools are 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, one school was three star ranked as excellent and another school does not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 33 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, 10 schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, two schools were 'three star' ranked as medium and another school does not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), 18 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, 13 schools as poor & medium 'two star' ranked, no schools as 'three star' ranked and another 15 schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.













Figure 31: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Ramaroshan Rural Municipality, Accham

21. Sarkegad Rural Municipality

31 schools from Sarkegad RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. All schools are rated poor, medium & excellent for indicator of 'one star' only.











Figure 32: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Sarkegad Rural Municipality, Humla

22. Sayal Rural Municipality

38 schools from Sayal RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicator 3 only in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), 32 schools are 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, three schools were 'two star' ranked as medium, one school was three star ranked as excellent and another two schools have no any Indicator 1 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 2 (**Toilet**), 32 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, three schools were 'two star' ranked as poor, one school was three star ranked as medium and another two schools have no Indicator 2 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), 16 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, 10 schools 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, one school was 'three star' ranked as excellent and another 11 schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 28 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, seven schools 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, two schools were 'three star' ranked as excellent and another school does not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 14 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, 10 school 'two star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, two schools were 'three star' ranked as medium & excellent and another 12 schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), eight schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, no schools 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 30 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and**

Sustainability), 30 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, medium & excellent, two schools are 'two star' ranked as medium & excellent, two schools were three star ranked as excellent and another four school do not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 21 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor & medium, four schools 'two star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, three schools were 'three star' ranked as medium & excellent and another 10 schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), 11 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor, medium & excellent, three schools as medium 'two star' ranked, one school was 'three star' ranked as medium and another 23 schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.













Figure 33: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Sayal Rural Municipality, Doti

23. Shivnath Rural Municipality

37 schools from Shivnath RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator except one school in indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to receive more attention during further implementation of school WASH activities.












Figure 34: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Shivnath Rural Municipality, Baitadi

24. Swamikartik Khapar Rural Municipality

25 schools from Swamikartik RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to receive more focus during further implementation of school WASH activities. Three schools rated as excellent ‘three star’ in indicator 2 (**Toilet**), one school was rated as medium ‘three star’ in indicator 3 (**Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment**), eight schools rated as excellent ‘three star’ in indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), three schools rated as medium ‘three star’ in indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), two schools rated poor ‘three star’ in indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), one school was rated as excellent ‘three star’ in indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), four schools rated as poor (3) & medium (1) in indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**).











Figure 35: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Swamikartik Rural Municipality, Bajura

25. Talkot Rural Municipality

30 schools from Talkot RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to receive more attention during further implementation of school WASH activities. All schools are rated poor, medium & excellent for indicator of 'one star' only.












Figure 36: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Talkot Rural Municipality, Bajhang

26. Thalara Rural Municipality

41 schools from Thalara RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in all indicator in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. One school was rated excellent, with a 'three star' in indicator 1 (**Water Supply**), one school was rated medium 'three star' in indicator 2 (**Toilet**), two schools rated excellent 'three star' in indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), two schools rated excellent 'three star' in indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), five schools rated as poor(2), medium (1) & excellent (2) 'three star' in indicator 6 **Hygiene Education**), four schools rated poor 'three star' in indicator 7 (**menstruation & hygiene management facility**), one school was rated poor 'three star' in indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**).












Figure 37: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Thalara Rural Municipality, Bajhang

27. Turmakhad Rural Municipality

56 schools from Turmakhand RM were surveyed for three star WASH. All schools are star ranked in indicator 1 only in this RM survey. However, status of poor & medium ranked star schools need to be focused more during further implementation of school WASH activities. In Indicator 2 (**Toilet**), 53 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, medium & excellent, two schools 'two star' ranked as medium, no school 'three star' ranked and another school does not have any Indicator 2 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 3 (**Clean, Green and Hygienic Environment**), 28 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, eight schools are 'two star' as poor & medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another 20 schools do not have any Indicator 3 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 4 (**Food Hygiene**), nine schools 'one star' ranked, as poor, 11 schools 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another 36 schools do not have any Indicator 4 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 5 (**Hygiene Facility**), 33 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor & medium, 12 schools 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another 11 school do not have any Indicator 5 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 6 (**Hygiene Education**), 23 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor & medium, 11 school 'two star' ranked as poor & medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another 26 schools do not have any Indicator 6 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 7 (**Menstruation & hygiene management facility**), 14 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor & medium, one school was 'two star' ranked as poor, no schools 'three star' ranked and another 41 schools do not have any Indicator 7 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 8 (**Institutional Arrangement and Sustainability**), 17 schools 'one star' ranked, poor, 10 schools are two star ranked as poor & medium, no schools 'three star' ranked and another 29 school do not have any Indicator 8 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 9 (**Disaster Risk Management**), 25 schools 'one star' ranked, as poor & medium, one school was 'two star' ranked as poor, no schools 'three star' ranked and another 20 schools do not have any Indicator 9 related star receiving criteria fulfilled. In Indicator 10 (**Monitoring and accountability**), 19 schools are 'one star' ranked as poor & medium, no schools as 'two star' & 'three star' ranked and another 37 schools do not have any indicator 10 related star receiving criteria fulfilled.









Figure 38: School WASH Three Star Indicator Status, Turmakhand Rural Municipality, Accham